# **Satellite Observations of Changes in Anthropogenic SO**<sub>2</sub>

A. Richter, F. Wittrock, and J. P. Burrows Institute of Environmental Physics / Remote Sensing, University of Bremen, FB 1, P.O. Box 330440, D-28334 Bremen, Germany Email: Andreas.Richter@iup.physik.uni-bremen.de



# SO<sub>2</sub> in the Atmosphere

#### **Sources of SO**<sub>2</sub>

- volcanoes (degassing, eruptions)
- DMS oxidation
- smelting of ores
- combustion of coal

#### **Relevance of SO**<sub>2</sub>

- acid rain formation
- acidification of water bodies, release of aluminum
- smog
- aerosol formation (reflective)

# How to measure from Space?

**Measurement Technique:** 

and reflected from the atmosphere and surface

on zonal homogeneity of the stratospheric fields



## Fig 1: Cartoon of the measurement geometry. The light observed by the satellite is either reflected on the surface

## SCIAMACHY data since 8.2002

6 days

crossing

• use of radiative transfer simulations to determine the effective light path

### GOME-2

• data since 1.2007

#### **Changes in atmospheric SO**<sub>2</sub> **levels** can result from

- changes in emissions (e.g. pollution, volcanic activity)
- changes in air chemistry (e.g. OH concentration)
- changes in dynamics (e.g. frequency of frontal systems)
- any combination of the above

or scattered back from the atmosphere. Not all photons probe the lowest layers which reduces the sensitivity of the measurements, in particular in the UV where Rayleigh scattering is more effective, resulting in reduced sensitivity to  $SO_2$  • 320 x 40 km<sup>2</sup> pixels

• data from 9.95 - 6.2003

**Instruments used:** 

- global coverage
- 3 days

GOME

- 10:30 LT equator crossing
- 60 x 30 km<sup>2</sup> pixels
  global coverage

• 10:00 LT equator

• Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy on UV/visible sun light scattered back

• use of Lambert-Beer's law to determine the absorption along the effective light path

• separation of tropospheric and stratospheric components by making assumptions

- 80 x 40 km<sup>2</sup> pixels
- global coverage
   1.5 days
- 09:30 LT equator crossing

#### SO<sub>2</sub> Results SCIAMACHY SO<sub>2</sub> 2003 - 2010 VC $SO_2$ [DU] 60 0.24 0.20 30 0.16 0.12 0.08 SAA 0.04 -30 0.00 -180 -120 -60 120 180 60 0

Fig.2: SCIAMACHY SO<sub>2</sub> columns averaged over the years 2003 - 2010. A stratospheric air mass factor was assumed resulting in strong underestimation of columns in the lower troposphere. Data in the Southern Atlantic Anomaly (SAA) region have much increased scatter. Four main regions of anthropogenic SO<sub>2</sub> signals are China, the South African Highvelt region, the Persian Gulf and the area around Norilsk. Smaller signals are also visible from some power plant regions in the US and Europe. Other SO<sub>2</sub> enhancements in Central and South

## Problems and possible Solutions

#### Instrument Changes

- any instrument may change over time introducing artificial changes
- long-term data sets rely on data from different sensors which may differ for several reasons:
- $\cdot$  instrument characteristics
- · spatial resolution differences
- $\cdot$  local time of measurement differences
- => verification using overlapping time series (see Figure 5)

#### **Viewing Condition Changes**

- over a longer time series, the observation conditions may change, e.g. through:
- systematic cloud changes
- changes in surface albedo (e.g. deforestation)
- changes in aerosol loading, possibly linked to emission changes of SO<sub>2</sub> (e.g. in China)



Fig. 5: Monthly averages of GOME, SCIAMACHY, and GOME-2  $SO_2$  above Central East China showing the agreement in the overlapping months in spite of differences in sampling and coverage. 2011 values are affected by volcanic  $SO_2$ .



America, Indonesia, Oceania, and Southern Italy are from volcanic emissions.



Fig.3: Relative changes of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> columns over East Central China (30°N - 40°N, 110°E - 123°E)



Fig.4: Relative changes of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> columns over the Eastern US (38°N - 43°N, 84.5°W - 76°W)

#### **Central East China**

- large SO<sub>2</sub> increase since 1996
- parallel to increase in NO<sub>2</sub> columns until 2007
- source: mainly coal burning in power plants
- Iarge decrease 2008 / 2009 as flue gas desulphurisation became mandatory
- no further reduction since as other SO<sub>2</sub> sources remain unregulated
- values remain above 2003 levels

#### **Ohio River Valley (US)**

- strong  $SO_2$  decrease from 2003 to 2006
- parallel to decrease in NO<sub>2</sub> columns but much more pronounced
- source: mainly coal burning in power plants
- large decrease result of changes in EPA regulations
- SO<sub>2</sub> now very close to detection limit of SCIAMACHY
- August 2008 data excluded (volcanic interference)

changes in emission height (see figure 6)
 *validation with external data needed*

#### Volcanic interference

- SO<sub>2</sub> is also emitted from volcanoes
- large eruptions can be identified and removed from time series
- SO<sub>2</sub> injected high in the atmosphere has increased life time and slowly decays
- transport to regions of anthropogenic emissions possible
- enhancement of anthropogenic signals cannot always be excluded
- => careful screening of data is needed

# Conclusions

Fig. 6: Vertical sensitivity of  $SO_2$  measurement and the effect of different emission types (domestic fires: low emission, power plants: high altitude emissions and possibly export into free troposphere). A change in emission type will lead to a signal change at the same emission strength if not accounted for.



Fig.7: GOME-2 SO<sub>2</sub> columns for June 2011. The high values are the result of SO<sub>2</sub> from the eruption of the Nabor volcano in Eritrea and affect a very large region including China. Note the difference in scale compared to Fig. 2.

- UV/visible satellite measurements of SO<sub>2</sub> provide valuable long-term data sets
- the data can be used to monitor emission changes
- examples are increases and subsequent reductions in anthropogenic emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> in China, reductions in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from power plants in the US
- use of multi-sensior time series necessitates careful instrument cross-verification but also provides cross-validation opportunities
- possible changes in observation conditions (e.g. changes in SO<sub>2</sub> vertical profile) have to be considered

For most other regions, the year-to-year variability is too large to reliably detect changes in anthropogenic  $SO_2$  emission.

## Acknowledgements

**Other Regions** 

SCIAMACHY raw radiances and irradiances have been provided by ESA / ESRIN
Parts of this project have been funded by the University of Bremen and the European Community through the CITYZEN and ACCENT Plus projects.

# Universität Bremen

## Selected References

- Khokhar, M. F. et al., Temporal trends of anthropogenic SO2 emitted by non-ferrous metal smelters in Peru and Russia estimated from Satellite observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 8, 17393-17422, doi:10.5194/acpd-8-17393-2008
- Kristiansen, N. I. et al., Remote sensing and inverse transport modeling of the Kasatochi eruption sulfur dioxide cloud, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, D00L16, doi:10.1029/2009JD013286, 2010
- Lee, C. et al., Retrieval of vertical columns of sulfur dioxide from SCIAMACHY and OMI: Air mass factor algorithm development and validation, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D22303, doi:10.1029/2009JD012123, 2009
- Lee, C. et al., SO2 emissions and lifetimes: Estimates from inverse modeling using in situ and global, space-based (SCIAMACHY and OMI) observations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **116**, D06304, doi:10.1029/2010JD014758, 2011
- Richter, A. et al., Increase in tropospheric nitrogen dioxide over China observed from space, *Nature*, **437**, 129-132, doi: 10.1038/nature04092, 2005
- Zhang, Q. et al., NOx emission trends for China, 1995–2004: The view from the ground and the view from space, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, D22306, doi:10.1029/2007JD008684., 2007

## see also: www.iup.physik.uni-bremen.de/doas