Using satellite measurements of tropospheric NO₂ and fire radiative power to derive biome-specific fire emission rates of NO_x



Stefan F. Schreier¹, Andreas Richter¹, Johannes W. Kaiser² and John P. Burrows¹

¹ Institute of Environmental Physics/Remote Sensing, University of Bremen, Germany ² Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany Email: schreier@iup.physik.uni-bremen.de



(monthly means (2007-2011))

Motivation

Biomass burning is a major source of nitrogen oxides (NO_x = NO + NO₂)

 NO_x radicals play key roles in atmospheric chemistry, air pollution, and climate

How to measure?

NO₂ amounts and distributions are retrieved by passive and active remote sensing techniques

Aim of this study

How to estimate globally?

bottom-up approach aggregate divers local statistics top-down approach

Satellite instruments and Data retrieval

- **Global Ozone Monitoring Experiment-2** - on board MetOp-A (EUMETSAT) since October 2006
- local equatorial crossing time: 9:30 a.m.

Ozone Monitoring Instrument

- on board EOS-Aura (NASA) since July 2004
- local equatorial crossing time: 1:30 p.m.

Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy (DOAS) to retrieve the Slant Column Densities (SCDs) fitting window: 425-497 nm (GOME-2) and 405-465 nm (OMI)

reference sector method, cloud screening (FRESCO+), AMFs -> Tropospheric Vertical NO₂ Columns (TVC NO₂)

MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer

To establish an empirical relationship bewteen **FRP** and **TVC NO₂** as a tool to estimate fire emissions of NO_x inversion and partitioning of satellite-derived tropospheric NO₂

120⁰E

- on board Terra (10:30 a.m.) and Aqua (1:30 p.m.) satellites (NASA)

- MODIS fire products include the radiant component of energy release, the Fire Radiative Power (FRP)

Conversion of TVC NO₂ into production rates of NO_x



Relationship between TVC NO₂ and FRP



The emissions of NO_x from vegetation fires are much lower than anthropogenic emissions on the global scale. However, biomass burning is the major source of NO_x in large tropical and subtropical regions.



In these regions, the seasonal variation of fire intensity, expressed by the FRP data, is similar to the pattern of the column number density of NO₂ molecules, expressed by the TVC NO_2 data.

Results I – Biome-specific FERs



Results II – Seasonal variability of FERs



possible explanations: - seasonal variations in plant (leaf) percent N - less N content at the end of the fire season - seasonal variations in fuel moisture - rainfall events during dry season enhance N binning procedure - FRP-interval 20 MW pixel⁻¹

	ANE		ASE		CSA		NAU		
	1	6	7	6	7	1	6	7	9
JAN	1.43	1.30		-		-	-		-
EB	1.14	1.02		-		-	-		-
MAR	0.48	0.21		-		-	-		-
APR	0.88	0.33		-		-	-		-
MAY	-	-		1.01		-	-		-
JUN	-	-		1.37		-	-		-
JUL	-	-		1.49		0.55	0.58		-0.26
AUG	-	-		0.88		1.06	0.52		0.26
SEP	-	-		0.85		1.02	0.71		1.01
ОСТ	-	-		0.71		1.03	0.74		0.64
VOV	1.46	0.48		-		0.90	0.68		0.62
DEC	1.92	0.61		-		1.01	0.84		0.46

Conclusions and Outlook

- a simple statistical approach has been developed to estimate NO_x emission rates using the strong correlation between TVC NO₂ and FRP

Acknowledgements

- only if the number of values ≥ 2

GOME-2 lv1 data have been provided by EUMETSAT

OMI lv2 data were provided by: <u>http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/Aura/data-holdings/OMI/omno2_v003.shtml</u>

- conversion of the TVC NO₂ into mass concentrations of NO_x by assuming constant NO_2/NO ratio and lifetime of $NO_x \rightarrow very$ good agreement with GFEDv3.1 NO_x
- biome-specific FERs, but also differences among the selected regions
- seasonal variability of FERs decreasing towards the end of the dry (fire) season
- future work will focus on other regions (e.g. boreal regions)

MODIS data have been retrieved from: http://neespi.gsfc.nasa.gov/data/s4pa/Fire/

global land cover classification was provided by: http://glcf.umd.edu/data/landcover/

S. F. Schreier gratefully acknowledges funding by the Earth System Science Research School (ESSReS)

Selected References

DeFries R.S. and Townshend J.R.G., in International Journal of Remote Sensing, 15:17, 3567-3586, 1994 Justice C.O. et al., in Remote Sensing of Environment, 83, 244-262, 2002 Richter A. and Burrows J.P., in Advances in Space Research, 29:11, 1673-1683, 2002 Callies J. et al., in Proceedings of SPIE, 5549, art. no. 07, 60-70, 2004 Bucsela E.J. et al., in IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, 44, 1245-1258, 2006 Wang P. et al., in Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 8, 6565-6576, 2008 Richter A. et al., in Atmospheric Measurement Techniques, 4, 1147–1159, 2011





www.earth-system-science.org