Observations of volcanic SO, using GOME-2 measurements

A. Richter, F. Wittrock, and J. P. Burrows Institute of Environmental Physics/Remote Sensing, University of Bremen, FB 1, P.O. Box 330440, D-28334 Bremen, Germany Email: Andreas.Richter@iup.physik.uni-bremen.de



UP 10.23

Why measure SO₂?

- volcances emit large quantities of ash and trace gases into the atmosphere, including SO_2
- volcanic emissions occur both during eruptions and as degassing
- volcanic eruptions are dangerous for local population
- volcanic SO₂ can lead to acid rain in the troposphere and to aerosol formation in both the troposphere and for high injection altitudes the stratosphere with effects on radiation budget and cloud formation
- ash and sulphuric acid from volcanic eruptions are a hazard for air traffic and early knowledge of plume positions and strengths is relevant for air traffic control
- satellite observations are the only way to provide continuous global data sets for monitoring of volcanic SO₂

GOME-2 Instrument



GOME-2 Instrument:

- launched on MetOp-A in October 2006
- data since January 2007
- 4 channel nadir viewing UV/visible spectrometer
- similar to GOME and SCIAMACHY
- first in a series of three identical instruments
- 80 x 40 km² pixel size
- global coverage in 1.5 days
- 09:30 LT equator crossing



Retrieval of SO₂



Analysis:

- **D**ifferential **O**ptical **A**bsorption **S**pectroscopy (DOAS)
- 312.5 327 nm fitting window
- offset removal with moving median of values not identified as volcanic peaks
- airmass factor appropriate for weak volcanic signal (1DU)
- correction needed for large SO_2 columns (see box to the right)

Results:

clear signature of volcanic eruptions and degassing in daily maps

Quantification of volcanic emissions

SO₂ Airmass Factor 30° SZA, 0.05 Albedo



Figure: Airmass factors for SO₂ computed for different SO₂ columns located in a layer between 10 and 11 km



Figure: Effect of saturation correction on columns for one GOME-2 overpass. The stepwise appearance is a result of the limited number of scenarios used

Problem

- as result of strong absorption by SO₂ itself, the light path and thus the airmass factor depends on the SO₂ amount present in the atmosphere
- both the absolute values and the wavelength dependence of the AMF change with SO₂ column
- this needs to be accounted for in the fit

Approach

- starting from the initial guess of the SO₂ column derived using the 1 DU a priori, a more appropriate scenario is selected and a new SO₂ retrieval started
- the retrieval with the best match between a priori and retrieved column is used for the final column

Results

- the fitting quality is much improved (up to factor of 10 smaller residuals)
- the absolute columns are much larger for high SO₂ loading, resulting in more realistic columns
- for some pixels, the scenario with the best residuals has a much larger column than the retrieved column for unknown reasons

GOME-2 SO₂ 2008/08/08: iterative a priori

GOME-2 SO₂ 2008/08/08: 1 DU a priori VC SO₂



Identification of volcanic Emissions

Task:

- identification of volcanic hot-spots
- separation from noise
- identification of even weak signals
- minimisation of false alerts

Approach:

- selection of values which are above 6 x RMS of negative (= noise) signals
- limitation to SZA < 80°
- filtering for low fit quality
- counting number of alerts in 5° x 5° box







Results: GOME-2 SO₂-Alerts accumulated for July 2008 All relevant signals are detected some false 24 alerts over China (pollution) and in the region

Conclusions and future work

Conclusions

- GOME-2 SO₂ columns have excellent signal to noise and good coverage
- volcanic emissions can be easily detected in an automated way
- quantification of volcanic emissions is complicated by the strong non-linearity of the SO_2 signal for large columns
- using individual a priori columns for the SO₂ references used in the retrieval for each pixel improves the fitting quality and the retrieved columns for large signals

Future work

- creation of better resolved data base of slant optical thicknesses for SO_2
- inclusion of the effect of ozone variations on the SO₂ sensitivity
- inclusion of the effect of variable albedo on the SO₂ sensitivity
- validation of satellite SO₂ columns with ground-based observations



of the SAA (noise)

Acknowledgements

- GOME-2 radiances have been provided by EUMETSAT
- Parts of this project have been funded by the University of Bremen and the SAVAA project

Universität Bremen

Selected References

Afe, O. T., A. Richter, B. Sierk, F. Wittrock and J. P. Burrows, BrO Emission from Volcanoes - a Survey using GOME and SCIAMACHY Measurements, Geophys. Res.Lett., 31, L24113, doi:10.1029/2004GL020994, 2004 Eisinger, M., and J. P. Burrows, Tropospheric Sulfur Dioxide observed by the ERS-2 GOME Instrument, Geophys. Res. Lett., No. 25, pp. 4177-4180, 1998. Khokhar, M. F., C. Frankenberg, S. Beirle, S. Kühl, M. Van Roozendael, A. Richter, U. Platt and T. Wagner, Satellite Observations of Atmospheric SO₂ from Volcanic Eruptions during the Time Period of 1996 to 2002, Journal of Advances in Space Research, **36**(5), 879-887, 10.1016/j.asr.2005.04.114, 2005 Krotkov, N. A., S. A. Carn, A. J. Krueger, P. K. Bhartia, and K. Yang (2006), Band residual difference algorithm for retrieval of SO₂ from the Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI), *IEEE Transac*. Geosci. Remote Sensing, 44, 5, 1259-1266.Krueger, A. (1983), Sighting of El Chichon sulfur dioxide clouds with the Nimbus 7 total ozone mapping spectrometer, Science, 220, 13771379. Yang, K., N. A. Krotkov, A. J. Krueger, S. A. Carn, P. K. Bhartia, P. F. Levelt (2007), Retrieval of large volcanic SO₂ columns from the Aura Ozone Monitoring Instrument: Comparison and limitations, J. Geophy. Res., 112, D24S43, doi:10.1029/2007JD008825

see also: www.iup.uni-bremen.de/doas