ABSTRACT

The GHG-CCI project (http://www.esa-ghg-cci.org) is one of several projects of ESA’s Climate Change Initiative (CCI, http://www.esa-cci.org/), which delivers data sets of various Essential Climate Variables (ECVs). The goal of GHG-CCI is to generate global satellite-derived data sets of the two important anthropogenic greenhouse gases (GHG) carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) and methane (CH\textsubscript{4}) with a quality as needed to derive information on regional CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} surface sources and sinks. A good understanding of GHG sources and sinks is a prerequisite for reliable climate prediction. The GHG-CCI core ECV data products are near-surface sensitive column-averaged dry air mole fractions of CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4}, denoted XCO\textsubscript{2} and XCH\textsubscript{4}, retrieved from SCIAMACHY/ENVISAT and TANSO-FTS/GOSAT. Other satellite instruments such as IASI and MIPAS are also used as they provide additional information about the two GHGs. Here we present an overview of Phase 1 of the GHG-CCI project (Sept.2010 – Dec.2013), focusing on scientific achievements and on the “Climate Research Data Package” (CRDP), which is the first version of the ECV GHG data base.

1. INTRODUCTION

Carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}) is the most important anthropogenic greenhouse gas causing global warming [29]. Despite its importance, our knowledge of CO\textsubscript{2} sources and sinks has significant gaps [10,12,22,23], and despite efforts to reduce CO\textsubscript{2} emissions, atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} continues to increase with approximately 2 ppm/year (Fig. 1). Appropriate knowledge about the CO\textsubscript{2} sources and sinks is needed for reliable prediction of the future climate of our planet [29]. This is also true for methane (CH\textsubscript{4}) [2,3,18,29,42]. The goal of the GHG-CCI project, which is one of several projects of ESA’s Climate Change Initiative (CCI, [28]), is to generate global satellite-derived CO\textsubscript{2} and CH\textsubscript{4} data sets as needed to improve our understanding of the sources and sinks of these important gases.

![Fig. 1: Time evolution of Northern Hemispheric XCO\textsubscript{2}, i.e., of the column-averaged CO\textsubscript{2} mixing ratio, as retrieved from SCIAMACHY/ENVISAT and TANSO-FTS/GOSAT using four different GHG-CCI retrieval algorithms (see Sect. 4). Clearly visible is the CO\textsubscript{2} seasonal cycle - primarily caused by uptake and release of CO\textsubscript{2} by the terrestrial biosphere - and the atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} increase with time, which is primarily caused by burning of fossil fuels. Also visible is the good agreement of the different CO\textsubscript{2} data products. Perfect agreement is not expected due to different spatio-temporal sampling and different altitude sensitivities (averaging kernels).](image-url)
Global near-surface sensitive satellite observations of CO₂ and CH₄ combined with modeling permits to obtain information on regional sources and sinks. The goal of the GHG-CCI project is to generate the Essential Climate Variable (ECV) Greenhouse Gases (GHG) as required by GCOS [22,23]. The GCOS definition of this ECV is [22]:

- “Product Number A.8.1: Retrievals of greenhouse gases, such as CO₂ and CH₄, of sufficient quality to estimate regional sources and sinks”.

Currently only data from two satellite instruments can be used to retrieve information on CO₂ and CH₄ with sufficient near-surface sensitivity: SCIAMACHY on ENVISAT (2002 - April 2012) [5] and TANSO-FTS on-board GOSAT (launched in 2009) [30]. Both instruments perform (or have performed until recently) nadir observations in the near-infrared/short-wave-infrared (NIR/SWIR) spectral region covering the relevant absorption bands of CO₂, CH₄ and O₂ (needed to obtain the “dry-air column” used to compute GHG dry-air column averaged mole fractions, i.e., XCO₂ (in ppm) and XCH₄ (in ppb)). These two instruments are therefore the two main sensors used within GHG-CCI. The corresponding retrieval algorithms are referred to as “ECV Core Algorithms” (ECAs) within GHG-CCI.

In addition, a number of other sensors are also used within GHG-CCI (e.g., MIPAS/ENVISAT and IASI/MetOp) as they provide additional constraints for atmospheric layers above the planetary boundary layer. The corresponding retrieval algorithms are referred to as “Additional Constraints Algorithms” (ACAs) within GHG-CCI.

Even moderate to strong CO₂ and CH₄ sources and sinks only result in quite small changes of the column-averaged mole fractions (or mixing ratios) relative to their background concentration. High relative accuracy of the satellite retrievals is required because even very small (regional) biases would lead to significant errors of the inferred surface fluxes. One of the first activities within GHG-CCI was to establish the user requirements, e.g., in terms of required accuracy and precision of the different data products. The result of this activity was the User Requirements Document (URD) [8], which is available from the GHG-CCI website (http://www.esa-ghg-cci.org -> Documents).

Another focus was to improve existing retrieval algorithms in order to generate data products which meet the challenging user requirements. Several algorithms per data product have been further developed and iteratively improved in competition. This activity was referred to as “Round Robin” (RR) exercise within the CCI. For GHG-CCI the RR phase covered the first two years of this project (Sep. 2010 – Aug. 2012). The GHG-CCI RR approach and results are presented in detail in Buchwitz et al., 2013a [7] (a link to that paper is given on the GHG-CCI website: http://www.esa-ghg-cci.org -> Publications).

The selected algorithms have been used to generate the “Climate Research Data Package” (CRDP), which is the first version of the ECV GHG data base. The discussion of the CRDP is the focus of this manuscript.

This manuscript is structured as follows: In Sect. 2 a short overview about the GHG-CCI Round Robin exercise is given, which was a key activity during Phase 1. Sect. 3 provides an overview about the scientific achievements of the GHG-CCI project as achieved until now. In Sect. 4 the CRDP is presented. Finally, an outlook is given in Sect. 5.

2. ROUND ROBIN (RR) EXERCISE

A detailed discussion of the GHG-CCI Round Robin (RR) approach and results obtained is given in Buchwitz et al., 2013a [7]. As shown in [7], significant progress has been made in terms of improving the precision and accuracy of the various GHG-CCI data products (see also Sect. 4). Several user requirements have been met, but not all. For example, satellite XCO₂ comparisons with ground-based TCCON retrievals [52] indicate that typically a relative accuracy of 1 ppm has been achieved but not the required 0.5 ppm. Furthermore, it has been identified that remote from (the sparse) TCCON validation sites also differences larger than 1 ppm have been found when comparing the various global XCO₂ data products from SCIAMACHY and GOSAT (e.g., over parts of the tropics, where no validation sites exist) [7, 37]. This aspect needs further investigation but it appears that all products suffer from outliers at least to some extent.

A tool to assess the quality of the global satellite retrievals without having a reliable standard to compare with is the developed EMMA approach [37]. EMMA stands for “EnseMble Median Algorithm”. Via EMMA a new Level 2 XCO₂ product is being generated by selecting the median of all available individual products (within pre-defined spatio-temporal intervals). The EMMA product has been used as a reference for comparison with the individual products and several statistical quantities have been computed for each product such as “percentage of observations in agreement with the median” and “percent outliers”. It has also been agreed to add the EMMA product to the GHG-CCI data products portfolio and to assess the quality of the merged SCIAMACHY and GOSAT XCO₂ product via inverse modeling of CO₂ surface fluxes. The EMMA product has therefore been added to the CRDP (see Sect. 4).

The goal of the RR exercise was to identify which algorithms to use to generate the CRDP. As shown in [7], it was not possible for all products to identify a single algorithm. For products where this was not possible, significant differences have been identified, but it was not possible to clearly identify, which algorithm/product is the more accurate. For these products several algorithms (typically two) have been selected for further development and analysis of the resulting data products.
Before the CRDP is presented in Sect. 4, we shortly summarize key scientific achievements as obtained during Phase 1 of the GHG-CCI project.

3. OVERVIEW GHG-CCI PHASE 1

SCIENTIFIC ACHIEVEMENTS

In this section an overview is provided on scientific publications, which have been published during GHG-CCI Phase 1 using GHG-CCI data products. The list of all publications is also available via the GHG-CCI website (http://www.esa-ghg-cci.org -> Publications), where also links to all publications are given. Please visit that website for the most up-to-date list of all publications.

Several publications are addressing improvements of the retrieval algorithms, e.g.,

- **Reuter et al., 2011** [40], presents first results from the application of the advanced BESD algorithm [41] to SCIAMACHY XCO₂ retrieval. BESD has been developed to improve the accuracy and precision compared to the simpler but much faster WFMD algorithm and as shown in [7], this goal has been achieved.

- However, the WFMD algorithm has also been significantly improved during GHG-CCI Phase 1 as shown in Heymann et al., 2012a, 2012b [26,27] and Schneising et al., 2011, 2012, 2013a/b [45,46,47,48] and used to address important science issues [45,46,47,48] as described below.

- **GOSAT algorithm improvements** are reported in a number of publications: Butz et al., 2011 [9], Cogan et al., 2012 [13], Guerlet et al., 2013a, 2013b [24,25], Parker et al., 2011 [35], Schepers et al., 2012 [44].

In a number of papers results for various carbon related applications are presented. In the following papers, the GHG-CCI algorithms / data products have been used to enhance our knowledge on CO₂ and CH₄ sources and sinks:

- **Schneising et al., 2011** [48] computed longitudinal XCO₂ gradients from SCIAMACHY XCO₂ retrievals during the vegetation growing season over Canadian and Siberian boreal forests and compared the gradients with outputs from NOAA’s CO₂ assimilation system CarbonTracker [36]. They found good agreement for the total boreal region and for inter-annual variations. For the individual regions, however, they found systematic differences suggesting a stronger Canadian boreal forest growing season CO₂ uptake and a weaker Siberian forest uptake compared to CarbonTracker.

- **Schneising et al., 2013b** [45] used SCIAMACHY XCO₂ to study aspects related to the terrestrial carbon sink by looking at co-variations of XCO₂ growth rates and seasonal cycle amplitudes with near-surface temperature. They found very good agreement with CarbonTracker.

- **Schneising et al., 2013a** [46] presents an assessment of the satellite data over major anthropogenic CO₂ source regions. They used a multi-year SCIAMACHY XCO₂ data set and compared the regional CO₂ enhancements and trends with the emission inventory EDGAR. They found no significant trend for the Rhine-Ruhr area in central Europe and the US East Coast but a significant increasing trend for the Yangtze River Delta in China of about 13+/-8%/year, in agreement with EDGAR (10+/-1%/year).

- **Reuter et al., 2013** [37] computed CO₂ seasonal cycle amplitudes using various satellite XCO₂ data products (GHG-CCI products but also the GOSAT XCO₂ products generated in Japan at NIES [34,53] and the NASA ACOS product [33]) and compared the amplitudes with TCCON and CarbonTracker. They found that most of the satellite products agree with TCCON but found significantly lower amplitudes for CarbonTracker suggesting that CarbonTracker underestimates the CO₂ seasonal cycle amplitude by approx. 1.5+/-0.5 ppm (see also [7] for a discussion of these findings).

- **Guerlet et al., 2013b** [24] analyzed GOSAT XCO₂ retrievals focusing on the Northern Hemisphere. They identified a reduced carbon uptake in the summer of 2010 and found that this is most likely due to the heat wave in Eurasia driving biospheric fluxes and fire emissions. Using a joint inversion of GOSAT and surface data, they estimated an integrated biospheric and fire emission anomaly in April–September of 0.89+/-0.20 PgC over Eurasia. They found that inversions of surface measurements alone fail to replicate the observed XCO₂ inter-annual variability (IAV) and underestimate emission IAV over Eurasia. They highlighted the value of GOSAT XCO₂ in constraining the response of land-atmosphere exchange of CO₂ to climate events.

- **Ross et al., 2013** [43] used GOSAT data to obtain information on wildfire emissions. Using global GOSAT XCO₂ data, Basu et al., 2013 [1] presents CO₂ surface flux inverse modeling results for various regions. Their analysis suggests a reduced global land sink and a shift of the carbon uptake from the tropics to the extra-tropics. Their results also suggest that Europe is a stronger carbon sink than expected.

- A number of publications have focused on the unexpected renewed atmospheric methane increase during 2007 and later years [2,15,20,48,50]. Based on an analysis of SCIAMACHY year 2003-2009 retrievals an increase of 7-9 ppb/year (0.4-0.5%/year) has been found with the largest increases in the tropics and northern mid latitudes [48] but a particular region responsible for the increase has not been identified [20,48]. Using inverse modeling and SCIAMACHY retrievals and NOAA surface data for 2003-2010 it has been recently [2] identified that the main reason for the increase are increasing anthropogenic emissions with wetland and biomass burning emissions being responsible for most of the inter-annual variations.
Methane emissions have also been obtained from GOSAT. Whereas the SCIAMACHY data have already been used to improve our knowledge on regional methane emissions prior to the start of the GHG-CCI project (e.g., [3] using the SCIAMACHY data described in [20]), first results from GOSAT have now also been obtained as presented in Cressot et al., 2013 [14] and Fraser et al., 2013 [21].

Note that publications addressing various other aspects have also been published, e.g., validation aspects (Sussmann et al., 2011, 2013 [49,51]) or aspects important for the users of the atmospheric data products, e.g., error statistics as discussed in Chevallier et al., 2013 [11]. Reuter et al., 2012a [38] has used the BESD algorithm to assess to what extent information on CO₂ isotopologues can be retrieved from GOSAT. In Reuter et al., 2012b [39] an empirical CO₂ model is presented and several applications of this are discussed, e.g., its use as a priori information for retrieval algorithms.

For ACAs, also a number of publications have been published, e.g.,

- Crevoisier et al., 2013 [15] presents several years (2007-2011) of MetOp-A/IASI mid/upper tropospheric methane in the tropics. They focus on identifying the reason for the recent methane increase starting in 2007. They found that the largest increase is during 2007-2008 with only a slight increase during 2009-2011 probably due to decreasing tropical wetland emissions during the later years.
- Noël et al., 2011 [32], present for the first time a long time series (2003-2010) of stratospheric methane vertical profiles retrieved from SCIAMACHY solar occultation measurements at mid to high latitudes over the Northern Hemisphere.
- Laeng et al., 2013 [31] presents the validation of methane vertical profiles retrieved from MIPAS including comparisons with the SCIAMACHY product described in [32].
- The algorithm for the CO₂ product from ACE-FTS is described in [19].

GHG-CCI team members are also involved in the specification of future GHG satellites such as CarbonSat [4,6]. In this context see also Ciais et al., 2013 [12] for an overview about current capabilities and limitations and future needs for establishing a global carbon observing system.

4. CLIMATE RESEARCH DATA PACKAGE (CRDP)

In this section, we present an overview about the GHG-CCI CRDP, which is the first version of the GHG-CCI ECV GHG products data base. The CRDP consists of various satellite-derived CO₂ and CH₄ data products and related documentation. The satellite-derived data products have been generated and are stored in a data bases accessible via ftp (http://www.esa-ghg-cci.org -> CRDP). Currently ongoing activities are the validation of the CRDP and an initial user assessment. The validation results will be reported in a document called “Product Validation and Intercomparison Report” (PVIR) and the user assessments will be reported in the “Climate Assessment Report” (CAR). Both documents, PVIR and CAR, are planned to be ready in October 2013 and will be made publicly available along with the satellite data products via the GHG-CCI website.

An overview about the various satellite-derived data products stored in the CRDP data base is given in Tabs. 1 and 2. Table 1 lists the GHG-CCI ECV core data products, which are the column-averaged mixing ratios of CO₂, denoted XCO₂ (in ppm), and CH₄, denoted XCH₄ (in ppb), as retrieved from SCIAMACHY and TANSO-FTS/GOSAT using European retrieval algorithms. Table 2 lists the “Additional Constraints” data products providing information on CO₂ and CH₄ in atmospheric layers above the planetary boundary layer. To illustrate how the various data products “look like”, the CRDP website provides various images (maps and time series) and related information. Some figures are presented and discussed in the following sub-sections.

4.1 CRDP XCO₂ PRODUCTS

Figure 2 shows global XCO₂ maps and time series as retrieved from SCIAMACHY. Due to the low reflectivity of water in the short-wave-infrared spectral region used for CO₂ retrieval, only data over land are shown. Data gaps over land are primarily due to clouds but also because of too low solar zenith angles (esp. in winter) and snow and ice covered surface. Clearly visible in the time series (red curve), but also in the seasonal maps, is the CO₂ seasonal cycle with low CO₂ values in summer due to uptake of CO₂ by the growing vegetation. Figure 3 shows one of the two GHG-CCI XCO₂ products from GOSAT.

Fig. 2: Overview SCIAMACHY/BESD XCO₂ data product [40] for 2002-2012.

Fig. 3: Similar as Fig. 2 but for XCO₂ retrieved from GOSAT [24,25].
Table 1: Overview GHG-CCI Phase 1 CRDP “ECV Core Algorithm” (ECA) data products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm / Product ID</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Algorithm Institute</th>
<th>Comment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CO2_SCI_BESD v02.00.04</td>
<td>XCO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>SCIAMACHY</td>
<td>BESD [40] IUP</td>
<td>RR: Selected for SCI XCO\textsubscript{2}; Coverage:08.2002-03.2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_SCI_WFMD v3.3</td>
<td>XCO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>SCIAMACHY</td>
<td>WFM-DOAS [45] IUP</td>
<td>More data than BESD; used for EMMA XCO\textsubscript{2}; Coverage:01.2003-04.2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_GOS_OCFP v4.0</td>
<td>XCO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>TANSO</td>
<td>UoL-FP [13] UoL</td>
<td>RR: Not clear if better or worse than SRFP; Coverage:06.2009-01.2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_GOS_SRFP v2.1</td>
<td>XCO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>TANSO</td>
<td>RemoteC [24] SRON/KIT</td>
<td>RR: Not clear if better or worse than OCFP; Coverage:06.2009-09.2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO2_EMMA v1.5</td>
<td>XCO\textsubscript{2}</td>
<td>SCIA &amp; TANSO</td>
<td>EMMA [37] Lead: IUP</td>
<td>Merged ensemble product; Coverage:06.2009-07.2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4_SCI_WFMD v3.3</td>
<td>XCH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SCIAMACHY</td>
<td>WFM-DOAS [45-48] IUP</td>
<td>RR: Not clear if better or worse than IMAP; Coverage:08.2002-12.2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4_SCI_IMAP v6.0</td>
<td>XCH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>SCIAMACHY</td>
<td>IMAP [20] SRON/JPL</td>
<td>RR: Not clear if better or worse than WFMD; Coverage:01.2003-04.2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4_GOS_OCFP v4.0</td>
<td>XCH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>TANSO</td>
<td>UoL-PR [35] UoL</td>
<td>RR: Selected for GOSAT PROxy (PR) XCH\textsubscript{4}; Coverage:06.2009-12.2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH4_GOS_SRFP v2.1</td>
<td>XCH\textsubscript{4}</td>
<td>TANSO</td>
<td>RemoteC [9] SRON/KIT</td>
<td>RR: Selected for GOSAT Full Physics (FP) XCH\textsubscript{4}; Coverage:06.2009-09.2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The CRDP website also shows “browse images” for every month for all products listed in Tab. 1. Shown are the main product, e.g., XCO\textsubscript{2}, but also the number of observations per 10°x10° grid cell, the mean value of the reported uncertainty, and the standard deviation. Figures 4 and 5 show two example figures for XCO\textsubscript{2}.

Fig. 4: Monthly “browse image” example figure for SCIAMACHY XCO\textsubscript{2}.

Fig. 5: Monthly “browse image” example figure for GOSAT XCO\textsubscript{2}.

Table 2: Overview GHG-CCI Phase 1 CRDP “Additional Constraints Algorithm” (ACA) data products.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Algorithm / Product ID</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Sensor</th>
<th>Algorithm Institute</th>
<th>Temporal coverage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CH4_SCI ONPD</td>
<td>Stratospheric profile</td>
<td>SCIA</td>
<td>ONPD [32] / IUP</td>
<td>2002-2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.2 CRDP XCH₄ PRODUCTS

Figures 6-10 are similar as Figs. 1-5 but show satellite-derived column-averaged methane retrievals. Note that the SCIAMACHY data after mid 2010 suffer from severe detector degradation (starting already end of 2005).

Fig. 6: Similar as Fig. 1 but for methane.

Fig. 7: Similar as Fig. 2 but for methane. Shown is the SCIAMACHY methane product retrieved with the WFMD algorithm [47,48].

Fig. 8: Similar as Fig. 3 but for methane. Shown is the GOSAT methane product retrieved with the UoL-PR algorithm [35].

Fig. 9: Similar as Fig. 4 but for SCIAMACHY XCH₄.

Fig. 10: Similar as Fig. 5 but for GOSAT XCH₄.

5. OUTLOOK

In this manuscript we have presented an overview about Phase 1 of the GHG-CCI project, which will end in December 2013. Phase 2 of this project is planned to start in January 2014 (2014-2016). During that phase focus will be on extension of the time series, on improving the existing ones (via three planned major re-processing cycles) and by also preparing for upcoming new missions such as OCO-2 and Sentinel-5-Precursor. It is also foreseen to involve more users in order to better exploit the information content of the satellite observations.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank JAXA for support and for providing us with the GOSAT Level 1 data. We thank NIES and the NASA/ACOS team for supporting us and for providing us with GOSAT Level 2 data products. We thank ESA and DLR for providing us with SCIAMACHY Level 1 data products and ESA for funding this project. We also thank the TCCON team (http://www.tccon.caltech.edu/) for making available the TCCON ground-based FTS XCO₂ and XCH₄ retrievals, which have been used for the validation of the GHG-CCI satellite-derived data products.
REFERENCES

23. GCOS (Global Climate Observing System), SYSTEMATIC OBSERVATION REQUIREMENTS FOR SATELLITE-BASED DATA PRODUCTS FOR CLIMATE – Supplemental Details to the GCOS Implementation Plan, GCOS-107, 2006.


